M. Louis Laframboise, Chartrand Laframboise & Associes, 800 boul. Chomedey, Tour "A", Bureau 230, Laval, QUEBEC H7V 3Y4.

48 Anna Avenue, Ottawa, ONTARIO K1Z 7T4 .

Tel . (613) 798-1937

April 13th, 1995.

Dear Mr. Laframboise,

SNC Inc.

You will recall our conversations and efforts to negotiate a settlement with SNC two years ago. In my estimation, you did very well to get as far as you did; the fact that nothing was concluded is no reflection on you.

Since then, there have been some new developments. I have written to Guy Saint-Pierre again and a copy of my letter of today's date, and the enclosures accompanying it, are enclosed for your information. The new developments concern Lloyd Axworthy's Standing Committee on Human Resources Development and SNC-Lavalin 's involvement with the Association of Consulting Engineers of Canada in a study about human resources development in the industry. I said two years ago that some such project was necessary and yet SNC-Lavalin refused to cooperate in the project proposal which I made as part of my proposed settlement formula 2 years ago - and now I have been proven right, beyond any possible doubt.

The black book enclosed documents how SNC-Lavalin treated me over the years, and what the implications are for Quebec and Canada if this sort of thing is allowed to continue.

Furthermore, SNC-Lavalin are now looking for people like me to work in Calgary. Hence the notion of a guaranteed job for me is entirely realistic now, just as it was in 1993, as part of a settlement formula.

Could I suggest that you might like to ask Guy Saint-Pierre for a mandate to communicate with me, concerning the new settlement formula which I have proposed? It is much simpler than the 1993 one.

Guy Saint-Pierre will undoubtedly try to assert, once again, that "...a ruling by the courts has concluded the matter." Considering what has occurred, such an argument is totally irrelevant and simply amounts to a not-very-clever cover-up for corrupt people in high places. Obviously, Guy Saint-Pierre and the lawyers would love another court case - to provide the lawyers with a "reason" to "justify" their own employment in a depressed Quebec economy, and in order to try and cover up some rather obvious corruption. If anyone thinks that Quebec's problems will be solved like that, they have another think coming.

Yours faithfully,

ROBERT T. CHISHOLM B.Sc.Hons.(Eng.), C.Eng.(U.K.), M.I.Mech.E.(U.K.), Jr.Eng.(Quebec).

R.T- Chish